



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/601,912	06/23/2003		Richard L. Antrim	006401.00399	7581
22908	7590	04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
BANNER &	& WITC	OFF, LTD.	KHARE, DEVESH		
TEN SOUTI		ER DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,		6		1623	
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Author O	10/601,912	ANTRIM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Devesh Khare	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·	-· action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 34 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
	oloodon roquiromona						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	11					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,912

Art Unit: 1623

The notice of allowance of the Office Action mailed on 11/15/2005 has been withdrawn. During the course of reconsideration of the application, a prior art reference not previously disclosed by the applicants or the examiner came to light (see rejection below).

Claims 1-10 and 34 are currently pending in this application.

35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 and 34 are rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: there is no particular definition of an "extrusion reaction" in the specification. The specification at pages 9-10 (see paragraph [0025] of the PGPub) states clearly that dextrinization should be sufficient to convert at least a portion of highly digestible 1-4 bonds present in the starting material to other bonds. It is noted that there is also no clear equivalence of "dextrinization" with "extrusion reaction". The applicant failed to recite in the claim a process with reaction conditions that defines a novel product.

Claims which depend from an indefinite claim which fail to obviate the indefiniteness of the claim from which they depend are also seen to be indefinite and are also rejected for the reasons set forth supra.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,7 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Levine et al. (U.S. Patent 5,009,900).

The applicants' claims are directed toward a saccharide-derived oligosaccharide mixture comprising: 1. a saccharide product with an average degree of polymerization of 1-4 mixed with; 2. malto-oligosaccharide when extruded after heat and work, portion of the malto-oligosaccharide derivatized with said saccharide.

Levine et al anticipates the claims as it teaches a saccharide product (col.4, lines 30-41) wherein (a) is a dextrose containing saccharide component (from starch), (b) is a maltodextrin component (equivalent to applicant's malto-oligosaccharide), (c) is polydextrose (could suffice as the saccharide component), and (d) is mono or disaccharide, such as maltose. Levine et al also discloses maltodextrins (col.4, lines 51-

Application/Control Number: 10/601,912

Art Unit: 1623

54) and extrusion process (col.8, lines 44-68). The mixture is then subjected to

extrusion. Thus, this meets the process limitations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (571)272-0653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anna Jiang, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at (571)272-0627. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,J.D. Art Unit 1623 April 7, 2006

> Anna Jiang, Ph.D. Prvisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600